

Bed Capacity Planning



Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering IE3100R Systems Design Project AY 08/09

Team Members: Carina Cassandra Calugcug, Koe Peck Hoon Fiona, Lam Siong Tai, Myriel Jona Rodriguez Cordova, Yu Yongshi Diana Supervisors: A/P Lee Loo Hay, Dr. Yap Chee Meng NUH Liaison Officer: Ms. Grace Chiang

Problem Definition

Resource demand is expected to increase annually. With this in mind, this project focuses on streamlining their resource management, through bed capacity planning, such that NUH can still meet the service criteria set by MOH.

Resource

Management

Increased Demand

Satisfied service criteria

Objectives

- To determine optimum number of beds and specify allocation of beds in various clusters.
- To meet the following criteria:
 - 1. Patient Turn-Around-Times (TATs) are less than 8 hours 99% of the time
 - 2. Bed Occupancy Rates (BORs) are maintained below 90%

 $Overall BOR = \frac{Total \ number \ of \ patients}{Total \ number \ of \ beds}$ $TAT = ward \ admission \ time - \ bed \ request \ time$

Current Situation Analysis

Breakdown of P1 Inpatients

Breakdown of P2 Inpatients Breakdown of P3 Inpatients



Proposed Solution

PROPOSED ALLOCATION OF BEDS FOR 2008				
Cluster	Current	Proposed		
Medicine	272	248		
Cardiac	72	90		
Oncology	54	65		
Surgery	139	124		
Orthopedic	105	108		
OVERALL	642	635		







ClusterAverage BORMedicine97.67%Surgery59.56%

Proposed Solution Analysis

- Re-allocation of beds led to an improvement in overall average BOR and TAT across all 6 clusters
- Overall TAT of NUH improved by 28.64% (approximately 0.70 hours)
- Overall BOR of NUH improved by **12.01%**

Simulated Performance Measures for 2008	
Average TAT	Average BOR
(hours)	(percentage)
1.74	75.18

Surgery

Cardiac

Oncology

Surgery 59.50%

77.85%

0.1 -	
0 -	Time

 Orthopedic
 73.32%

 OVERALL
 85.44%

3 Sigma Variation in Arrivals Analysis

0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

No. of General Ward beds

Overall bed supply for increasing EMD arrivals with the consideration of 3σ variation

Orthopedic

Percentage increase of EMD arrivals with respect to 2008

BREAKDOWN OF BEDS PER CLUSTER						
Cluster	Optimistic	Average	Pessimistic			
Medicine	179	248	289			
Cardiac	49	90	114			
Oncology	34	65	86			
Surgery	55	124	135			
Orthopedic	71	108	132			
OVERALL	388	635	756			

1.	Surgery	Orthopedics	/9.48%	
	Orthopedic	OVERALL	75.18%]
0 -	Time			J

Sensitivity Analysis

0.3

0.2

- Oncology cluster is highly sensitive to changes in number of beds
- There is a decreasing improvement of Oncology TAT with every increment in Oncology beds

Recommendations

Further analysis and studies on the following are greatly recommended:

- Reduction of system variability through process improvement
- Effect of having buffer beds to accommodate days of high EMD admission
- Efficiency of overflow protocol and actual allocation
- Correlation and trade-off between TAT and BOR
- Trends and effects in changes in patient demographics