Work Instruction Improvement

IE3100R Systems Design Project Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering

Assoc Prof. Chew Ek Peng | Prof. Gong Dah-Chuan

Heng Zhongwei (U095567B) Yeo Han Liang (U095570R)

Phyu Phyu Thinn (U095606L) Vaishali Raja (U095588E)

Introduction

Background

Pepperl+Fuchs (Mfg) Pte Ltd (P+F) is a leading developer and manufacturer of electronic sensors and components for the global automation market. It has plants around the world, including Germany, Singapore, Vietnam and Indonesia. For P+F, work instructions are typically relayed in English. However, foreigners make up a large proportion of the operators, more than half of whom are English-illiterate. This often leads to inconvenience in relaying workplace instructions.

Objectives

For this Systems Design Project, the group's role will be to analyze the work instruction system in P+F, and propose solution(s) to improve P+F's work instruction practices. The solution(s) should avoid manual translation efforts and ensure that operators can perform their duties according to the work instructions with minimal guidance from supervisors.









• Despite the above-mentioned constraints, the current situation in the production line is very much under control. Product quality has remained high at all times due to regular checks made and tighter supervision by supervisors. Moreover, there are various continuous improvement programs in place to help improve quality yield, efficiency and productivity.

• Nevertheless, in order to free up the time spent on regular checks and tighter supervision for more value-added tasks, a longer-term solution to improving the work instruction system is to be sought.

	Machine		Improve DocViewer functionality		3	3	3	3	3	2	2.85		
		Man		Train operators to understand technical drawings		1	3	1	1	1	1	1.4	
			Tra	in operators to understand	english	1	3	1	1	1	1	1.4	
	mprove	- Material C star		Split product file into different sections		2	3	3	1	3	2	2.35	
v				Copy product files and workmanship standard documents for each workstation		2	3	3	1	2	3	2.35	
Instr	ructions			Have coloured photographs for product file		1	3	3	2	2	2	2.3	
				Improve quality of instructions		2	3	3	3	2	2	26	
	4	Method		Implement work instruction software			4	4	4	3	3	3.5	
	_		Implement translation software		ware	2	3	3	3	3	3	2.9	
Rating	Opportunity Cost—10% (A)	Reliability – 20	9% (B)	Team Ability - 20% (C)		npany Direction – 20% (D)		Completion -15% (E)			Implementation – 15% (F)		
1	More than \$10,000	High chance of not working at all		25% confident the team can do it	Solution is not what company wants			More th	r Ext	Extremely difficult			
	Between \$5,000 and	Might not wo	ork as	50% confident the team	Solution deviates from		In bet month						
2	\$10,000	expected		can do it	objective			mo		Difficult			
	Between \$1,000 and			75% confident the team	Solution very close to		e to	In bet month					
3	\$5,000	Will work fairly well		can do it	objective			months			Manageable		
	Less		-	90% confident the team									
4	than\$1,000	Definitely will	work	can do it	Solution fu	lfils obje	ctive	Less than	3 mont	ns Easy	implem	entatior	

Solution

1. Proposed Solution

The solution of implementing work instruction software was proposed. Work instruction software would contain operational instructions in the form of interactive videos and photographs, and allow for independent learning with translation features. Due to limited time for this project, it was decided that only a component of work instruction software – producing training videos of the production process – would be done.

3. Solution Analysis

Supervisors and operators from two workstations in the production line were asked to view the training videos which we produced, and feedback was obtained from them. A summary of the results is shown in the following table:



Picture-in-Picture (Screenshot in video)

Short and succinct with narration

00:37 / 00:45

Potential Benefits	Limitations					
Consistency in training	Language barrier not eradicated as video is produced in English					
Cut down frequency of requesting assistance from supervisors	Operators having queries regarding the videos still require consultation with supervisors					
Consistent exposure to technical terms	Does not significantly reduce frequency of human errors					
Reduce supervisor training time						
Maximize number of operators in training						
	Consistency in training Cut down frequency of requesting assistance from supervisors Consistent exposure to technical terms Reduce supervisor training time					



Conclusion

Through feedback obtained from the supervisors and operators involved, it was found that our solution (i) saves the trainers' time in conducting repeated trainings, (ii) enables more operators to be trained simultaneously, (iii) allows operators to refer to the videos whenever in doubt and also (iv) have a better grasp of technical terms used. Further analysis recommends (i) the reduction of speed of video for operators to process the information better, (ii) more prominent highlighting of important areas to look out for, (iii) more detailed, step-by-step instructions in the videos and (iv) improvement in resolution and size of computer screenshots in the videos. After making refinements to the video production process, this solution can then be implemented on a larger scale in P+F. This large-scale implementation should be targeted at critical areas of production in which training takes up too much time and resources, so as to maximize the effectiveness of the solution.