# SYSTEMATIC APPROACH TO COMMONALITY ANALYSIS FOR **SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING (infineon**

**IE3100R SYSTEMS DESIGN PROJECT AY2022/2023** 



DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT

GROUP MEMBERS: JENNIFER ANDRAINNE LION, RAYMOND FENDY JULIANTO, RUI XUE ALEXA, TEO FU WEN AARON

SDP SUPERVISOR: ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR CHEN NAN

**INFINEON SUPERVISORS: MR NG BO YAN, MR HEE BOON KIET, MR MARK CHAN** 



where Y = loss contribution of the set of machines,  $C_{ij} = loss$  contribution learned of machine type i of variant j, H<sub>i</sub>, L<sub>i</sub> and T<sub>i</sub> are binary variables representing the handler, loadboard and testers used, and P<sub>i</sub> and Tp<sub>i</sub> are binary variables representing the test package and program used.



Potential solutions were evaluated based on their Scalability, Interpretability, Period-**Consideration and Robustness** 

# **04 Results Analysis**



The team generated 4 approaches and evaluated their potential before choosing Multiple Linear Regression as the base solution, with the complementation of Clustering

Recommendations are sorted according to:  $LCONT * \sqrt{COUNT}$ 

#### **Implementation**

1) Iterate through different handler names

- 2) Apply HDBSCAN for every unique handler
- 3) Append cluster number to the handler's name and add to dataset
- 4) Repeat steps 1 to 3 for different loadboards and different testers
- 5) Fit predictors handler\_cluster, loadboard\_cluster, tester\_cluster into MLR model
- 6) Get problematic machines with contributions significantly greater than average
- 7) Output the recommendations

# **Effective Integrated Solution**

#### Overview of test case #1



It is difficult to judge which is performing better than the other. Hence, time clustering is done on each setup. In this case, the focus can be drawn to the green and blue setups.

### **<u>Time clustering</u>**



The green set-up consists of the machines that should be highlighted as it has consistently higher failure rates than most other data points.

#### Time clustering



When time clustering was done on this test case, there were no meaningful clusters that were observed due to its small size.

The algorithm recommends the red set-up as problematic first, before the brown set-up. The solution flags out machines that are performing worse consistently, rather than those with worse



Fitting this clustered test case into the MLR model recommends that the light green and light blue clusters are likely to be problematic, with the light green being ranked higher than the light blue. This is the desirable result.



Clustering on this test case provided little improvement as it ranked all 3 different clusters for the green set-up at the top. The same recommendations could have been made even without clustering.

performance for very few data points.

Overall, the effectiveness of the MLR coupled with time clustering was highlighted for both large and small test cases. The shortcoming of time clustering is addressed by allowing users to have the option of having clusters or no clusters.

# **05** Conclusion

#### Project Achievements



The team developed a model based on Multiple Linear Regression and supplemented it with Time Clustering to arrive at a comprehensive solution to the problem faced by Infineon. The solution has been proven to correctly identify problematic machines and optimised efficiency of the department. It will be deployed to engineers shortly after project conclusion.

#### Future **Direction**

Extensions to the applicability of the group's model can be done by pre-processing data using normalisation. By learning historical baselines of failure percentages, a standard can be established for normalisation. This allows for comparisons across multiple products simultaneously in our model and further improves efficiency.

